



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/195,270	11/18/1998	KATSUHIRO OCHIAI	P/2054-95	4140
7	01/28/2003			
STEVEN I WEISBURD ESQ DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS - 41ST FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036			EXAMINER	
			SALCE, JASON P	
NEW TORK,	N 1 10030		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2611	
	•			
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 \sim

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/195,270	OCHIAI ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason P Salce	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re-	cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The di- have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of th d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		-
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:	[see attac	hed (
(a) 🔀 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	→
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or t ∕ould be rejected is provided be	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		AULICAN FAIL F	
		VISORY PATENT EXA	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/195,270

Art Unit: 2611

Continuation of Item 5 on Advisory

Applicant's arguments filed 12/31/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's request for withdrawal of the finality of the present rejection is denied.

Applicant added two new independent claims 15 and 16, which clearly contain new limitations that required the examiner to provide a more extensive search.

Therefore, the finality of the present application stands.

Applicant argues that that the unified notation does not identify the first and second broadcast stream. The examiner cites Column 8, Lines 2-7, specifically with reference to the reception instructions containing a parameter for a "signal source", which can be used to determine between a first and second broadcast signal.

Applicant also argues that the claims of the present invention discloses "programs" and that Mankovitz is only concerned with capturing program guide information. Examiner disagrees, and cites Column 8, Lines 10-13 of Mankovitz, which states, "allows the viewer to tune and display channels". Therefore, Mankovitz does receive electronic program guide data (in the VBI), but also receives programs. The examiner also notes that the term "programs", which the applicant states is explicitly recited in the claims of the present application is not recited in independent claim 15. The term "resources" is used, which is broader than the term programs.

Applicant also argues that at least one program and at least one program guide are broadcast over plural paths. Examiner sees no reference to program guide data in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/195,270

Art Unit: 2611

Applicant also argues that Mankovitz in view of Williams fails to cure the deficiency in Mankovitz discussed above regarding the unified notation. Examiner assumes the deficiency the applicant is referring to the argument made with regards to the unified notation not identifying a first and second broadcast stream. See rebuttal made above in regards to this argument.

Page 3